arXiv:1101.5930v1 [math.AP] 31 Jan 2011

Steklov-type eigenvalues associated with best Sobolev trace constants: domain perturbation and overdetermined systems.

Pier Domenico Lamberti

Dedicated to the 70th birthday of Professor Victor I. Burenkov

Abstract: We consider a variant of the classic Steklov eigenvalue problem, which arises in the study of the best trace constant for functions in Sobolev space. We prove that the elementary symmetric functions of the eigenvalues depend real-analytically upon variation of the underlying domain and we compute the corresponding Hadamard-type formulas for the shape derivatives. We also consider isovolumetric and isoperimetric domain perturbations and we characterize the corresponding critical domains in terms of appropriate overdetermined systems. Finally, we prove that balls are critical domains for the elementary symmetric functions of the eigenvalues subject to volume or perimeter constraint.

Keywords: Steklov eigenvalues; Laplace operator; domain perturbation; overdetermined systems.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35P15; 46E35; 35N25; 49Q12.

1 Introduction

Let $N \geq 2$ and Ω be a bounded domain (*i.e.*, a bounded connected open set) in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^2. We consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda u, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

and we represent its eigenvalues by means of a divergent sequence

$$0 < \lambda_1[\Omega] < \lambda_2[\Omega] \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_j[\Omega] \leq \dots$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated according to multiplicity. Here ν denotes the outer unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. In this paper we study the dependence of $\lambda_j[\Omega]$ on Ω.

After the pioneering paper by Steklov [16], the homogeneous boundary condition $\partial u/\partial\nu = \lambda u$ is called Steklov boundary condition and it appears in the study of many boundary value problems. In the literature, the Steklov condition is often imposed to harmonic functions in Ω and the eigenvalues of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda u, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

are usually called Steklov eigenvalues. Problem (1.2) has important physical meaning and many properties of the Steklov eigenvalues are known. In particular, for $N = 2$ problem (1.2) describes the vibration of a free membrane the total mass of which is uniformly distributed along the boundary. On the other hand, problem (1.1) seems to find its natural motivation in the frame of the Theory of Sobolev Spaces and in particular in connection with the problem of traces. Recall that functions of the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ admit a trace in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and there exists a constant C such that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 d\sigma \leq C \int_{\Omega} u^2 + |\nabla u|^2 dx, \tag{1.3}$$

for all $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, the trace operator from $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ is compact. By simple considerations, it turns out that

$$\lambda_1[\Omega] = \min_{\substack{u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \\ \mathrm{Tr}\, u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 d\sigma} > 0, \tag{1.4}$$

and that the minimizers are exactly the eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_1[\Omega]$. In fact, problem (1.1) is a formulation of the Euler-Lagrange equation associated with (1.4). Note that $\lambda_1[\Omega]$ is the reciprocal of the best Sobolev trace constant C for which (1.3) holds.

In the last decade problem (1.1) and its nonlinear generalizations have attracted the attention of several authors, see, *e.g.*, the rather widely quoted paper by Martínez and Rossi [14]. In particular, Rossi [15] has conjectured that the the ball maximizes $\lambda_1[\Omega]$ among all domains with fixed volume (this is known to be true for the first nontrivial eigenvalue of (1.2), see Weinstock [17] and Brock [2], see also Henrot [7]). In order to support his conjecture, Rossi [15] has computed the shape derivative of $\lambda_1[\Omega]$ and has proved that the ball is a critical point for $\lambda_1[\Omega]$ under volume constraint.

In this paper, we develop the results of [15] and we consider all eigenvalues $\lambda_j[\Omega]$. The main difficulty in dealing with higher eigenvalues is related to their multiplicity which may change when the domain is perturbed. This leads to complicated bifurcation phenomena, which clearly do not occur in the case of the simple eigenvalue $\lambda_1[\Omega]$. The investigations carried out in the papers [11, 12, 9], have pointed out that in the case of multiple eigenvalues it is natural to consider the elementary symmetric functions of the eigenvalues, which typically depend real-analytically on the parameters involved in the problem. In this paper, we adopt the approach of [12]. Namely, we consider families of domains $\phi(\Omega)$ parameterized by suitable diffeomorphisms ϕ of class C^2 defined on the fixed reference domain Ω and we prove that the elementary symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of problem (1.1) on $\phi(\Omega)$ depend real-analytically on ϕ, see Theorem 3.2. Moreover, in formula (3.4) we compute the Frechét derivatives of such functions and we characterize the critical transformations ϕ subject to the volume constraint $\mathrm{Vol}(\Omega) = \mathrm{const}$ or the perimeter constraint $\mathrm{Per}(\phi(\Omega)) = \mathrm{const}$, see Theorem 4.8. This leads to the formulation of the appropriate overdetermined systems (4.13), (4.14). It turns out that if $\phi(\Omega)$ is a ball, then ϕ is a critical point for the elementary symmetric functions of the eigenvalues with volume or perimeter constraint, and the corresponding overdetermined systems are satisfied.

We note that in the terminology of domain perturbation theory (*cf.* Henry [6]), in this paper we adopt the 'Lagrangian' point of view, whilst the calculations in [15] are performed in the 'Eulerian' form.

2 Notation and preliminaries

In this paper the elements of $\mathbb{R}^N$ are thought as row vectors. The inverse of a matrix A is denoted by A^{-1} as opposed to the the inverse of a function f which is denoted by $f^{(-1)}$. The transpose of a matrix A is denoted by A^T, and the inverse of the transpose of A is denoted by A^{-T}. The product of two matrices A and B is denoted by AB. According to this notation, $w_1 w_2^T$ is the scalar product of two vectors $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The symbol ∇ denotes either the gradient of a real-valued function or the Jacobian matrix of a vector-valued function. The differential of a function F at a point a is denoted by $\mathrm{d}F(a)$ and the value of $\mathrm{d}F(a)$ computed in b is denoted by $\mathrm{d}F(a)[b]$.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^2. Here and in the sequel it is understood that $N \geq 2$. We consider the weak formulation of problem (1.1)

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \varphi^T + u\varphi \, dx = \lambda \int_{\partial\Omega} u\varphi \, d\sigma, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \tag{2.1}$$

in the unknowns $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ (the eigenfunction), $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (the eigenvalue). Here $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ denotes the Sobolev space of those functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ with first order weak derivatives in $L^2(\Omega)$ endowed with its standard norm (functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ are assumed to be real-valued) and $d\sigma$ denotes the $(N-1)$-dimensional surface measure in $\partial\Omega$. Note that the integral in the right-hand side of (2.1) is well-defined since functions in the Sobolev space admit a trace in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ in the sense that there exists a continuous linear operator Tr of $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}\, u = u_{|\partial\Omega}$ for all $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. Occasionally in the sequel we shall also use other standard Sobolev spaces $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ of functions with weak derivatives up to order m in $L^p(\Omega)$; we refer to Burenkov [3] for the basic properties of these spaces.

We now recall a standard procedure that enables us to reduce the study of problem (2.1) to the study of an eigenvalue problem for a compact self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ (which is equipped with its standard scalar product defined by the left-hand side of (2.1)).

We consider the Laplace operator Δ as an operator from $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ to its dual $(W^{1,2}(\Omega))'$ defined by $\Delta[u][\varphi] = -\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \varphi^T dx$ for all $u, \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and we recall that the operator $I - \Delta$ is an isometry of $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ onto $(W^{1,2}(\Omega))'$. Here I is the natural embedding defined by $I[u][\varphi] = \int_\Omega u\varphi dx$ for all $u, \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we consider the embedding J of $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ into $(W^{1,2}(\Omega))'$ defined by $J[u][\varphi] = \int_{\partial\Omega} u\varphi d\sigma$ for all $u \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Clearly, equation (2.1) is equivalent to equation

$$(I-\Delta)^{-1}\circ J\circ \mathrm{Tr}\,[u]=\lambda^{-1}u \tag{2.2}$$

in the unknown $u\in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Note that by using $\varphi=u$ as a test function in (2.1), it is immediate to see that any eigenvalue λ is positive. Thus, we have the following

Lemma 2.3 *The operator $S_\Omega\equiv(I-\Delta)^{-1}\circ J\circ\mathrm{Tr}$ is a compact non-negative self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $S_\Omega u=\mu u$ for $u\in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $\mu\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ if and only if u is an eigenfunction of problem (2.1) corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda=\mu^{-1}$.*

Proof. By equality

$$< S_\Omega u_1,u_2 >_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}=(I-\Delta)[(I-\Delta)^{-1}\circ J\circ\mathrm{Tr}\,[u_1]][u_2]=J\circ\mathrm{Tr}\,[u_1][u_2],$$

for all $u_1,u_2\in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and by the symmetry of the pairing $J\circ\mathrm{Tr}\,[\cdot][\cdot]$ we immediately deduce that S_Ω is a non-negative self-adjoint operator. The compactness of S_Ω follows by the compactness of the trace operator Tr (see, *e.g.*, Kufner, John, Fučík [8, Thm. 6.10.5]). The proof of the last part of the statement is straightforward. $\square$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Ker}S_\Omega=W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)$, where $W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)$ is the closure in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of the space of functions of class C^∞ with compact support in Ω. Since the operator S_Ω is compact and self-adjoint in the Hilbert space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and its kernel has infinite codimension in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, the spectrum of $\sigma(S_\Omega)$ is discrete and $\sigma(S_\Omega)\setminus\{0\}$ consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity which can be represented as a non-increasing sequence $\mu_j[S_\Omega]$, $j\in\mathbb{N}$ converging to zero (as customary, we repeat each eigenvalue as many times as its multiplicity). Thus we have the following

Corollary 2.4 *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^2. The eigenvalues of problem (2.1) are positive, have finite multiplicity and can be represented as a non-decreasing divergent sequence $\lambda_j[\Omega]$, $j\in\mathbb{N}$ where each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity. Moreover,*

$$\lambda_j[\Omega]=\min_{\substack{E\subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)\\ E\cap W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)=\{0\}\\ \dim E=j}}\ \max_{\substack{u\in E\\ u\neq 0}}\frac{\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2+|u|^2dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega}|u|^2d\sigma}, \tag{2.5}$$

for all $j\in\mathbb{N}$ and formula (1.4) holds. Furthermore, $\lambda_1[\Omega]$ is simple and its eigenfunctions do not change sign in Ω.

Proof. The proof of the first part of the statement can be easily deduced by Lemma 2.3 and standard spectral theory and by recalling that $\lambda_j[\Omega]=\mu_j^{-1}[S_\Omega]$ for all $j\in\mathbb{N}$. For the second part we proceed exactly as in the well-known case of the Dirichlet Laplacian. Namely, let u be a nonzero eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_1[\Omega]$. Since u is a minimizer in (1.4) then also $|u|$ is a minimizer in (1.4). Thus, $|u|$ is an eigenfunction associate with $\lambda_1[\Omega]$ and by the Harnack inequality $|u|$ cannot vanish in Ω. Hence u does not change sign in Ω. Finally, given two nonzero eigenfunctions u_1, u_2 associated with $\lambda_1[\Omega]$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_\Omega u_1 - cu_2 dx = 0$, it follows that the eigenfunction $u_1 - cu_2$ must be identically zero, hence $u_1 = cu_2$. $\square$

Remark 2.6 *Another way of reducing problem (2.1) to an eigenvalue problem for a compact non-negative self-adjoint operator in Hilbert space is to consider the operator* $\mathrm{Tr} \circ (I - \Delta)^{-1} \circ J$ *in* $L^2(\partial\Omega)$*. This operator may be used instead of* S_Ω *in all our arguments below without any essential changes. With regard to this, we note that, in order to normalize eigenfunctions, the scalar product of* $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ *would be more natural than the scalar product of* $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ *(see also Remark 3.5 in Section 3). However, the relation between the eigenfunctions of* $\mathrm{Tr} \circ (I - \Delta)^{-1} \circ J$ *and those of problem (2.1) is slightly involved. Thus, we prefer to use the operator* S_Ω *since its eigenfunctions are functions defined on* Ω *and coincide with the eigenfunctions of problem (2.1).*

3 Domain perturbation

Let Ω be a fixed bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^2. We consider problem (2.1) on a class of domains diffeomorphic to Ω. Namely, we consider the class of domains $\{\phi(\Omega) : \ \phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega\}$ where $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_\Omega = \left\{\phi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}\,;\mathbb{R}^N) : \ \phi \text{ is injective and } \det\nabla\phi(x) \neq 0, \text{ for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}\right\}, \tag{3.1}$$

and $C^2(\bar{\Omega}\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the space of the functions from $\bar{\Omega}$ to $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^2. Note that if $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ then $\phi(\Omega)$ is a bounded domain of class C^2 in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and that $\partial\phi(\Omega) = \phi(\partial\Omega)$. Thus, it is possible to set the eigenvalue problem (2.1) on $\phi(\Omega)$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ and to consider the eigenvalues $\lambda_j[\phi(\Omega)]$ as functions of ϕ. For simplicity, we set $\lambda_j[\phi] = \lambda_j[\phi(\Omega)]$.

In this section, we study the dependence of $\lambda_j[\phi]$ upon variation of $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$. It is understood that the space $C^2(\bar{\Omega}\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$ is endowed with the C^2-norm defined by $\|\phi\| = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2} \|D^\alpha \phi_i\|_\infty$ for all $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the standard sup-norm. It turns out that the set $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ is an open set in the space $C^2(\bar{\Omega}\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [10, Lemma 2.2]). Thus, it makes sense to study differentiability and analyticity properties of the maps $\lambda_j[\cdot]$ defined on $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$.

Following [11], we fix a finite set of indexes $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ and we consider those maps $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ for which the eigenvalues with indexes in F do not coincide with eigenvalues with indexes not in F; namely we set

$$\mathcal{A}_\Omega[F] = \{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega : \ \lambda_j[\phi] \neq \lambda_l[\phi], \ \forall\ j \in F, \, l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F\}$$

and

$$\Theta_\Omega[F] = \{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega[F] : \ \lambda_{j_1}[\phi] = \lambda_{j_2}[\phi], \ \forall\ j_1, j_2 \in F\}\,.$$

Then we can prove the following theorem, where $\nabla_T v(y)$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $\nabla v(y)$ to the tangent plane of $\partial\phi(\Omega)$ at the point $y \in \partial\phi(\Omega)$. Note that by standard elliptic theory (*cf.* Agmon, Douglis and Nirenberg [1]; see also the recent paper by Castro Triana [4]), any eigenfunction v in $\phi(\Omega)$ is of class $W^{2,2}(\phi(\Omega))$ hence ∇v admits a trace in $L^2(\partial\phi(\Omega))$. Thus, $\nabla_T v$ and the normal derivative $\partial v/\partial\nu$ are well-defined and $|\nabla v|^2 = |\nabla_T v|^2 + |\partial v/\partial\nu|^2$. Although it is not necessary, it may be useful to recall that $C^{1,\alpha}$-type results (*cf.*, *e.g.*, Lieberman [13]) imply that the gradient of v is in fact continuous up to the boundary of $\phi(\Omega)$, hence $\nabla_T v$ and $\partial v/\partial\nu$ are actually defined everywhere in $\partial\phi(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^2 and F a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_\Omega[F]$ is an open set in $C^2(\bar\Omega\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the elementary symmetric functions*

$$\Lambda_{F,h}[\phi]=\sum_{\substack{j_1,\dots,j_h\in F\\ j_1<\dots<j_h}}\lambda_{j_1}[\phi]\cdots\lambda_{j_h}[\phi],\quad h=1,\dots,|F| \tag{3.3}$$

are real-analytic in $\mathcal{A}_\Omega[F]$. Moreover, if $\phi\in\Theta_\Omega[F]$ is such that the eigenvalues $\lambda_j[\phi]$ assume the common value $\lambda_F[\phi]$ for all $j\in F$, then the differential of the functions $\Lambda_{F,h}$ at the point ϕ is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\Lambda_{F,h}(\phi)[\psi]=\lambda_F^h[\phi]\binom{|F|-1}{h-1}\sum_{l\in F}\int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)}&\left[|\nabla_T v_l|^2\right.\\ &\left.+(1-\lambda_F[\phi]H-\lambda_F^2[\phi])v_l^2\right](\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)})\nu^T d\sigma,\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $\psi\in C^2(\bar\Omega\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $\{v_l\}_{l\in F}$ is an orthonormal basis in $W^{1,2}(\phi(\Omega))$ of the eigenspace corresponding to $\lambda_F[\phi]$, and $H=\mathrm{div}\nu$ is the mean curvature of $\partial\phi(\Omega)$ (the sum of the principal curvatures).

Remark 3.5 *By looking at the weak formulation (2.1), it is immediate to realize that if one considers eigenfunctions v_l normalized with respect to the scalar product of $L^2(\partial\phi(\Omega))$ then the factor $\lambda_F^h[\phi]$ in formula (3.4) should be replaced by $\lambda_F^{h-1}[\phi]$. In particular it follows that formula (3.4) computed for $|F|=h=1$ agrees (up to a misprint) with the formula in Rossi [15, (1.5)] concerning the first eigenvalue.*

The rest of this Section is devoted to proving Theorem 3.2. We note that the proof of Theorem 3.2 is based on a general result in [11] concerning families of compact self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space with variable scalar product. In order to apply that result we need to consider the eigenvalue problem (2.1) on the variable domain $\phi(\Omega)$ and pull it back to the fixed domain Ω by means of a change of variables. In particular, for a fixed $\phi\in\mathcal{A}_\Omega$, it is convenient to consider the operators Δ, I, and J on $\phi(\Omega)$ defined in Section 2, and pull them back to Ω. In this way we obtain the operators Δ_ϕ, I_ϕ of $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ to $(W^{1,2}(\Omega))'$ and J_ϕ of $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ to $(W^{1,2}(\Omega))'$ defined as follows:

$$\Delta_\phi[u][\varphi]=\Delta\left[u\circ\phi^{(-1)}\right]\left[\varphi\circ\phi^{(-1)}\right],\ I_\phi[u][\varphi]=I\left[u\circ\phi^{(-1)}\right]\left[\varphi\circ\phi^{(-1)}\right], \tag{3.6}$$

for all $u,\varphi\in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and

$$J_\phi[u][\varphi]=J\left[u\circ\phi^{(-1)}\right]\left[\varphi\circ\phi^{(-1)}\right], \tag{3.7}$$

for all $u\in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, $\varphi\in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. By means of standard calculus and a change of variables one can check that

$$\Delta_\phi[u][\varphi]=-\int_\Omega\nabla u\,(\nabla\phi)^{-1}\,(\nabla\phi)^{-T}\ \nabla\varphi^T\,|\mathrm{det}\nabla\phi|\,dx, \tag{3.8}$$

$$I_\phi[u][\varphi] = \int_\Omega u\varphi \left|\det\nabla\phi\right| dx, \tag{3.9}$$

for all $u, \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and

$$J_\phi[u][\varphi] = \int_{\partial\Omega} u\varphi \left|\nu(\nabla\phi)^{-1}\right| \left|\det\nabla\phi\right| d\sigma, \tag{3.10}$$

$u \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Formulas (3.8) and (3.9) suggest introducing in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ the following scalar product

$$< u_1, u_2 >_\phi = \int_\Omega (\nabla u_1 \, (\nabla\phi)^{-1} \, (\nabla\phi)^{-T} \ \nabla u_2^T + u_1 u_2) \left|\det\nabla\phi\right| dx, \tag{3.11}$$

for all $u_1, u_2 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. We denote by $W^{1,2}_\phi(\Omega)$ the space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ equipped with the scalar product defined by (3.11). Note that the scalar product (3.11) is equivalent to the standard scalar product of $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, hence $W^{1,2}_\phi(\Omega)$ is in fact a Hilbert space. Note also that the map C_ϕ of $W^{1,2}(\phi(\Omega))$ to $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ defined by $C_\phi[v] = v \circ \phi$ for all $v \in W^{1,2}_\phi(\phi(\Omega))$ is an isometry. By setting

$$T_\phi = (I_\phi - \Delta_\phi)^{-1} \circ J_\phi \circ \mathrm{Tr},$$

it is immediate to verify the validity of the following

Lemma 3.12 *The operator T_ϕ of $W^{1,2}_\phi(\Omega)$ to itself satisfies*

$$T_\phi = C_\phi \circ S_{\phi(\Omega)} \circ C_{\phi^{-1}},$$

hence it is unitarily equivalent to $S_{\phi(\Omega)}$. In particular, T_ϕ is a compact non-negative self-adjoint operator in $W^{1,2}_\phi(\Omega)$ the eigenvalues of which coincide with the eigenvalues of $S_{\phi(\Omega)}$. Moreover, $v \in W^{1,2}(\phi(\Omega))$ is an eigenfunction of $S_{\phi(\Omega)}$ if and only if $v \circ \phi$ is an eigenfunction of T_ϕ.

In order to prove formula (3.4) we need the following technical lemma. To avoid heavy notation, we use the same symbol ν to denote the outer unit normal to the boundaries of different domains (the context is usually clear enough to understand which domain ν refers to; for example, ν refers to $\partial\Omega$ in (3.14) and $\partial\phi(\Omega)$ in (3.15)).

Lemma 3.13 *Let Ω be a bounded domain of class C^2. Let $u \in W^{2,1}(\Omega)$ be fixed. The function B of $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ to $\mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$B[\phi] = \int_{\partial\Omega} u \left|\nu(\nabla\phi)^{-1}\right| \left|\det\nabla\phi\right| d\sigma, \tag{3.14}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$, is real-analytic and the differential at the point $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ is given by

$$\mathrm{d}B(\phi)[\psi] = \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} \left(Hv + \frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}\right)(\psi \circ \phi^{(-1)})\nu^T d\sigma - \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (\psi \circ \phi^{(-1)}) \nabla v^T d\sigma, \tag{3.15}$$

for all $\psi \in C^2(\Omega\,; \mathbb{R}^N)$, where $v = u \circ \phi^{(-1)}$.

Proof Since $\det\nabla\phi$ does not vanish on $\partial\Omega$, the weight $|\nu(\nabla\phi)^{-1}|\,|\det\nabla\phi|$ does not vanish on $\partial\Omega$. Thus, by a continuity argument $\inf_{\partial\Omega}|\nu(\nabla\phi)^{-1}|\,|\det\nabla\phi|$ is strictly positive in a suitable neighborhood in $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ of any fixed $\tilde{\phi}\in\mathcal{A}_\Omega$. Thus, the map B is real-analytic since it is the composition of real-analytic maps.

By standard calculus, it is easy to see that differentiating $\det\nabla\phi$ with respect to ϕ yields

$$\left[(\mathrm{d}\,(\det\nabla\phi)\,[\psi])\circ\phi^{(-1)}\right]\det\nabla\phi^{(-1)}=\mathrm{div}\left(\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)}\right)\,, \tag{3.16}$$

for all $\psi\in C^2(\Omega\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover

$$\mathrm{d}|\nu(\nabla\phi)^{-1}|=-\frac{\nu(\nabla\phi)^{-1}[\nabla\psi(\nabla\phi)^{-1}+(\nabla\phi)^{-T}(\nabla\psi)^T](\nabla\phi)^{-T}\nu^T}{2|\nu(\nabla\phi)^{-1}|}. \tag{3.17}$$

We note that the outer unit normal to $\partial\phi(\Omega)$ at the point $\phi(x)\in\partial\phi(\Omega)$ is given up to the sign by

$$\frac{\nu(x)(\nabla\phi(x))^{-1}}{|\nu(x)(\nabla\phi(x))^{-1}|}, \tag{3.18}$$

where $\nu(x)$ is the outer unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ at the point $x\in\partial\Omega$. Thus by combining (3.17), (3.18) and by means of a change of variable (see also formula (3.10)) and by the Divergence Theorem applied in $\phi(\Omega)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega}&u\mathrm{d}|\nu(\nabla\phi)^{-1}|[\psi]|\det\nabla\phi|d\sigma\\&=-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)}v\nu[\nabla(\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)})+\nabla(\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)})^T]\nu^T d\sigma\\&=-\int_{\phi(\Omega)}\mathrm{div}(v\nabla(\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)})\nu^T)dy\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

In (3.19) and in the sequel it is understood that the unit vector field $\nu(y)$ defined for all $y\in\partial\phi(\Omega)$ is extended as a unit vector field of class C^1 in a neighborhood of $\partial\phi(\Omega)$ and then extended in any manner as a vector field of class C^1 defined in the whole of $\phi(\Omega)$ (see also Henry [6, p. 15]). By (3.16) and (3.19) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}B[\phi][\psi]&=\int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)}v\mathrm{div}(\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)})d\sigma-\int_{\phi(\Omega)}\mathrm{div}(v\nabla(\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)})\nu^T)dy\\&=\int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)}v\mathrm{div}(\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)})d\sigma-\int_{\phi(\Omega)}\nabla v\nabla(\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)})\nu^T dy\\&\qquad-\int_{\phi(\Omega)}v\mathrm{div}(\nabla(\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)})\nu^T)dy\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

To proceed with these computations we need to differentiate the vector field ν twice. Thus, since ν is of class C^1, we approximate ν by a sequence of C^2 vector fields $\nu^{(n)}=(\nu_1^{(n)},\dots,\nu_N^{(n)})$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\nu^{(n)}\to\nu$ in $C^1(\overline{\phi(\Omega)})$ as $n\to\infty$. To shorten our notation we set $\zeta=\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)}$. By applying repeatedly the Divergence

Theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\phi(\Omega)} \nabla v \nabla \zeta (\nu^{(n)})^T dy &= \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\phi(\Omega)} \frac{\partial \zeta_r}{\partial y_s} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_r} \nu_s^{(n)} dy = \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (\nu^{(n)}\nu^T) \nabla v \zeta^T d\sigma \\
&- \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\phi(\Omega)} \zeta_r \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y_r \partial y_s} \nu_s^{(n)} + \zeta_r \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_r} \frac{\partial \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_s} dy = \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (\nu^{(n)}\nu^T) \nabla v \zeta^T d\sigma \\
&- \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\phi(\Omega)} \zeta_r \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y_r \partial y_s} \nu_s^{(n)} dy - \sum_{s=1}^{N} \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} \frac{\partial \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_s} v \nu \zeta^T d\sigma \\
&+ \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\phi(\Omega)} v \frac{\partial \zeta_r}{\partial y_r} \frac{\partial \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_s} + v \zeta_r \frac{\partial^2 \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_r \partial y_s} dy \,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.21}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\phi(\Omega)} v \mathrm{div}(\nabla \zeta (\nu^{(n)})^T) dy &= \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\phi(\Omega)} v \frac{\partial^2 \zeta_r}{\partial y_s \partial y_r} \nu_s^{(n)} + v \frac{\partial \zeta_r}{\partial y_s} \frac{\partial \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_r} dy \\
&= \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (\nu^{(n)}\nu^T) v \mathrm{div}\zeta d\sigma - \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\phi(\Omega)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_s} \frac{\partial \zeta_r}{\partial y_r} \nu_s^{(n)} + v \frac{\partial \zeta_r}{\partial y_r} \frac{\partial \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_s} dy \\
&+ \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} v \zeta_r \nu_s \frac{\partial \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_r} d\sigma - \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\phi(\Omega)} v \zeta_r \frac{\partial^2 \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_r \partial y_s} + \zeta_r \frac{\partial \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_s} dy \\
&= \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (\nu^{(n)}\nu^T) v \mathrm{div}\zeta d\sigma - \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\phi(\Omega)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_s} \frac{\partial \zeta_r}{\partial y_r} \nu_s^{(n)} + v \frac{\partial \zeta_r}{\partial y_r} \frac{\partial \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_s} dy \\
&+ \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} v \zeta_r \nu_s \frac{\partial \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_r} d\sigma - \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\phi(\Omega)} v \zeta_r \frac{\partial^2 \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_r \partial y_s} - \zeta_r \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y_r \partial y_s} \nu_s^{(n)} \\
&- \frac{\partial \zeta_r}{\partial y_r} \nu_s^{(n)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_s} dy - \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (\nu^{(n)} \nabla v^T) \zeta \nu^T d\sigma \,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.22}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\phi(\Omega)} \nabla v \nabla \zeta (\nu^{(n)})^T dy + \int_{\phi(\Omega)} v \mathrm{div}(\nabla \zeta (\nu^{(n)})^T) dy &= \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (\nu^{(n)}\nu^T) v \mathrm{div}\zeta d\sigma \\
+ \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (\nu^{(n)}\nu^T) \nabla v \zeta^T d\sigma &- \sum_{s=1}^{N} \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} \frac{\partial \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_s} v \nu \zeta^T d\sigma \\
+ \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} v \zeta_r \nu_s \frac{\partial \nu_s^{(n)}}{\partial y_r} d\sigma &- \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (\nu^{(n)} \nabla v^T) \zeta \nu^T d\sigma \,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.23}
$$

By passing to the limit in (3.23) as $n \to \infty$, by observing that $\sum_{s=1}^{N} \nu_s \frac{\partial \nu_s}{\partial y_r} = 0$ on $\partial\phi(\Omega)$ for all $r = 1, \dots, N$, and by recalling that $H = \mathrm{div}\nu$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\phi(\Omega)} \nabla v \nabla \zeta \nu^T dy + \int_{\phi(\Omega)} v \mathrm{div}(\nabla \zeta \nu^T) dy &= \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} v \mathrm{div}\zeta d\sigma \\
+ \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} \nabla v \zeta^T d\sigma - \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} H v \nu \zeta^T d\sigma &- \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \zeta \nu^T d\sigma \,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.24}
$$

By combining (3.20) and (3.24), we conclude. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.2: We denote by $B_s((W^{1,2}(\Omega))^2;\mathbb{R})$ the Banach space of the bounded real-valued bilinear symmetric forms in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ endowed with its usual norm. We denote by $\mathcal{Q}((W^{1,2}(\Omega))^2;\mathbb{R})$ the open subset of $B_s((W^{1,2}(\Omega))^2;\mathbb{R})$ of those bilinear forms which define a scalar product in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ topologically equivalent to the standard scalar product of $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Finally we denote by $K(W^{1,2}(\Omega);W^{1,2}(\Omega))$ the Banach space of the compact linear operators in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ endowed with its usual norm. We note that the map from $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ to $\mathcal{Q}((W^{1,2}(\Omega))^2;\mathbb{R})$ $\times K(W^{1,2}(\Omega);W^{1,2}(\Omega))$ which takes $\phi\in\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ to the couple $(<\cdot,\cdot>_\phi, T_\phi)$ is real-analytic, since it is the composition of real-analytic functions (see also the beginning of the proof of Lemma 3.13). Moreover, by Lemma 3.12 T_ϕ is self-adjoint with respect to the scalar product $<\cdot,\cdot>_\phi$ and the eigenvalues $\mu_j[\phi]$ of T_ϕ coincide with the reciprocals of $\lambda_j[\phi]$. Clearly, the set $\mathcal{A}_\Omega[F]$ coincides with the set $\{\phi\in\mathcal{A}_\Omega:\ \mu_j[\phi]\neq\mu_l[\phi],\ \forall j\in F,\ l\in\mathbb{N}\setminus F\}$. Thus, by applying [11, Thm. 2.30], it follows that $\mathcal{A}_\Omega[F]$ is an open set in $C^2(\bar\Omega\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the functions which take $\phi\in\mathcal{A}_\Omega[F]$ to

$$\Gamma_{F,h}[\phi]=\sum_{\substack{j_1,\dots,j_h\in F\\ j_1<\dots<j_h}}\mu_{j_1}[\phi]\cdots\mu_{j_h}[\phi] \tag{3.25}$$

are real-analytic for all $h=1,\dots,|F|$. Since

$$\Lambda_{F,h}[\phi]=\frac{\Gamma_{F,|F|-h}[\phi]}{\Gamma_{F,|F|}[\phi]}, \tag{3.26}$$

for all $h=1,\dots,|F|$, where $\Gamma_{F,0}[\phi]=1$, it follows that $\Lambda_{F,h}[\phi]$ depends real-analytically on $\phi\in\mathcal{A}_\Omega[F]$.

It remains to prove formula (3.4). Let $\phi\in\Theta_\Omega[F]$, $\lambda_F[\phi]$ and $\{v_l\}_{l\in F}$ be as in the statement. We set $u_l=v_l\circ\phi$ for all $l\in F$ and we note that $\{u_l\}_{l\in F}$ is an orthonormal basis in $W^{1,2}_\phi(\Omega)$ for the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_F^{-1}[\phi]$ of the operator T_ϕ. By [11, Thm. 2.30], it follows that

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma_{F,h}(\phi)[\psi]=\lambda_F^{1-h}[\phi]\binom{|F|-1}{h-1}\sum_{l\in F}<\mathrm{d}T_\phi[\psi][u_l],u_l>_\phi, \tag{3.27}$$

for all $\phi\in\mathcal{A}_\Omega[F]$, $\psi\in C^2(\bar\Omega\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus we have to compute the summands in the right-hand side of (3.27). For the sake of clarity, we believe it is better to compute $<\mathrm{d}T_\phi[\psi][u_l],u_m>_\phi$ for all $l,m\in F$.

To shorten our notation we set $\lambda=\lambda_F[\phi]$ and $\zeta=\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)}$. Since $T_\phi u_l=\lambda^{-1}u_l$ for all $l\in F$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&<\mathrm{d}T_\phi[\psi][u_l],u_m>_\phi\\
&\quad=<(I_\phi-\Delta_\phi)^{(-1)}\circ\mathrm{d}J_\phi[\psi][\mathrm{Tr}\,u_l]+\mathrm{d}(I_\phi-\Delta_\phi)^{(-1)}[\psi]\circ J_\phi[\mathrm{Tr}\,u_l],u_m>_\phi\\
&\quad=(I_\phi-\Delta_\phi)\big[(I_\phi-\Delta_\phi)^{(-1)}\circ\mathrm{d}J_\phi[\psi][\mathrm{Tr}\,u_l]+\mathrm{d}(I_\phi-\Delta_\phi)^{(-1)}[\psi]\circ J_\phi[\mathrm{Tr}\,u_l]\big][u_m]\\
&\quad=\mathrm{d}J_\phi[\psi][\mathrm{Tr}\,u_l][u_m]-\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{d}(I_\phi-\Delta_\phi)[\psi][u_l][u_m]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

By standard calculus, by means of a change of variables and formula (3.16) it follows that

$$\mathrm{d}\Delta_\phi[\psi][u_l][u_m] = \int_{\phi(\Omega)} \nabla v_l(\nabla\zeta + \nabla\zeta^T)\nabla v_m^T dy - \int_{\phi(\Omega)} \nabla v_l \nabla v_m^T \mathrm{div}\zeta dy\,. \tag{3.29}$$

Since $\Delta v_l = v_l$ on $\phi(\Omega)$ and $\frac{\partial v_l}{\partial \nu} = \lambda v_l$ on $\partial\phi(\Omega)$ for all $l \in F$, by the Divergence Theorem it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\phi(\Omega)} \nabla v_l(\nabla\zeta + \nabla\zeta^T)\nabla v_m^T dy \\
&\quad = \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\phi(\Omega)} \frac{\partial \zeta_r}{\partial y_s}\frac{\partial v_l}{\partial y_r}\frac{\partial v_m}{\partial y_s} + \frac{\partial \zeta_s}{\partial y_r}\frac{\partial v_l}{\partial y_r}\frac{\partial v_m}{\partial y_s} dy = \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} \zeta_r \nu_s \frac{\partial v_l}{\partial y_r}\frac{\partial v_m}{\partial y_s} \\
&\quad +\zeta_s\nu_r \frac{\partial v_l}{\partial y_r}\frac{\partial v_m}{\partial y_s} d\sigma - \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \int_{\phi(\Omega)} \zeta_r \frac{\partial^2 v_l}{\partial y_r \partial y_s}\frac{\partial v_m}{\partial y_s} + \zeta_r \frac{\partial v_l}{\partial y_r}\frac{\partial^2 v_m}{\partial y_s^2} + \zeta_s \frac{\partial^2 v_l}{\partial y_r^2}\frac{\partial v_m}{\partial y_s} \\
&\quad +\zeta_s \frac{\partial v_l}{\partial y_r}\frac{\partial^2 v_m}{\partial y_r \partial y_s} dy = \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} \left(\frac{\partial v_m}{\partial \nu}\nabla v_l + \frac{\partial v_l}{\partial \nu}\nabla v_m - (\nabla v_l \nabla v_m^T)\nu\right)\zeta^T d\sigma \\
&\quad + \int_{\phi(\Omega)} \mathrm{div}\zeta \nabla v_l \nabla v_m^T - (\Delta v_m \nabla v_l + \Delta v_l \nabla v_m)\zeta^T dy = \lambda \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (v_m \nabla v_l \\
&\quad + v_l \nabla v_m)\,\zeta^T d\sigma + \int_{\phi(\Omega)} \mathrm{div}\zeta \nabla v_l \nabla v_m^T - \int_{\phi(\Omega)} (v_m \nabla v_l + v_l \nabla v_m)\zeta^T dy \\
&\quad - \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} \nabla v_l \nabla v_m^T (\nu\zeta^T) d\sigma = \lambda \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} \nabla(v_l v_m)\zeta^T d\sigma + \int_{\phi(\Omega)} (\nabla v_l \nabla v_m^T \\
&\quad + v_l v_m)\,\mathrm{div}\zeta dy - \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (\nabla v_l \nabla v_m^T + v_l v_m)(\nu\zeta^T) d\sigma.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Moreover, by (3.16) and a change of variable it follows that

$$\mathrm{d}I_\phi[\psi][v_l][v_m] = \int_{\phi(\Omega)} v_l v_m \mathrm{div}\zeta dy. \tag{3.31}$$

By (3.29)-(3.31) we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}(I_\phi - \Delta_\phi)[\psi][v_l][v_m] = \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} [(\nabla v_l \nabla v_m^T + v_l v_m)\nu - \lambda\nabla(v_l v_m)]\zeta^T d\sigma \tag{3.32}$$

which combined with (3.28) and (3.15) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \mathrm{d}T_\phi[\psi][u_l], u_m >_\phi \\
&\quad = \lambda^{-1} \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} \left[\lambda\left(\frac{\partial(v_l v_m)}{\partial \nu} + H v_l v_m\right) - (\nabla v_l \nabla v_m^T + v_l v_m)\right] \nu\zeta^T d\sigma\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

By equalities (3.26), (3.27) and (3.33) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{d}\Lambda_{F,h}[\phi][\psi]\\
&\quad = \left\{\mathrm{d}\Gamma_{F,|F|-h}[\phi][\psi]\Gamma_{F,|F|}[\phi] - \Gamma_{F,|F|-h}[\phi]\mathrm{d}\Gamma_{F,|F|}[\phi][\psi]\right\} \lambda_F^{2|F|}[\phi]\\
&\quad = \left[\binom{|F|-1}{|F|-h-1}\lambda_F^{h+1-2|F|}[\phi] - \binom{|F|}{h}\binom{|F|-1}{|F|-1}\lambda_F^{h+1-2|F|}[\phi]\right]\\
&\quad \cdot\lambda_F^{2|F|}[\phi]\sum_{l\in F} < \mathrm{d}T_\phi[\psi][u_l], u_l >_\phi = -\lambda_F^{h+1}[\phi]\binom{|F|-1}{h-1}\sum_{l\in F} < \mathrm{d}T_\phi[\psi][u_l], u_l >_\phi\\
&\quad = \lambda_F^h[\phi]\binom{|F|-1}{h-1}\sum_{l\in F}\int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)}\left[|\nabla v_l|^2 + v_l^2 - \lambda_F[\phi]\left(\frac{\partial(v_l^2)}{\partial\nu} + Hv_l^2\right)\right](\psi\circ\phi^{(-1)})\nu^T d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Formula (3.4) then follows by observing that

$$|\nabla v_l|^2 + v_l^2 - \lambda_F[\phi]\left(\frac{\partial(v_l^2)}{\partial\nu} + Hv_l^2\right) = |\nabla_T v_l|^2 + (1 - \lambda_F[\phi]H - \lambda_F^2[\phi])v_l^2,$$

for all $l \in F$. □

4 Overdetermined problems

In this section we consider isovolumetric and isoperimetric domain perturbations. Namely, given a bounded domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^2, we consider domain transformations $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ satisfying either the volume constraint

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,\phi(\Omega) = \mathrm{const}, \tag{4.1}$$

or the perimeter constraint

$$\mathrm{Per}\,\phi(\Omega) = \mathrm{const}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathrm{Vol}\,\phi(\Omega)$ denotes the N-dimensional Lebesgue measure of $\phi(\Omega)$ and $\mathrm{Per}\,\phi(\Omega)$ the (N-1)-dimensional surface measure of $\partial\phi(\Omega)$. It is here natural to introduce the functionals V and P from $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ to $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$V[\phi] = \mathrm{Vol}\,\phi(\Omega), \quad \text{and} \quad P[\phi] = \mathrm{Per}\,\phi(\Omega), \tag{4.3}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$. Note that by changing variables in integrals

$$V[\phi] = \int_\Omega |\mathrm{det}\nabla\phi| dx, \quad \text{and} \quad P[\phi] = \int_{\partial\Omega}\left|\nu(\nabla\phi)^{-1}\right| |\mathrm{det}\nabla\phi|\, d\sigma, \tag{4.4}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$. We recall the following

Definition 4.5 *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a real-valued differentiable map defined on an open subset of $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$.*

(i) We say that $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ is a critical point for $\mathcal{F}$ with volume constraint (4.1) if

$$\ker \mathrm{d}V(\phi) \subseteq \ker \mathrm{d}\mathcal{F}(\phi). \tag{4.6}$$

(ii) We say that $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ is a critical point for $\mathcal{F}$ with perimeter constraint (4.2) if

$$\ker \mathrm{d}P(\phi) \subseteq \ker \mathrm{d}\mathcal{F}(\phi). \tag{4.7}$$

As is well-known definitions (i) and (ii) are related to the problem of finding local extremal points for

$$\min_{V[\phi]=\mathrm{const}} \mathcal{F}[\phi] \quad \text{or} \quad \max_{V[\phi]=\mathrm{const}} \mathcal{F}[\phi],$$

and

$$\min_{P[\phi]=\mathrm{const}} \mathcal{F}[\phi] \quad \text{or} \quad \max_{P[\phi]=\mathrm{const}} \mathcal{F}[\phi],$$

respectively. Indeed if ϕ is a local minimum or maximum of a function $\mathcal{F}$ under condition (4.1) (resp. (4.2)) then inclusion (4.6) (resp. (4.7)) holds (see, *e.g.*, Deimling [5, § 26]).

Statements (i) and (ii) in the following theorem provide a characterization of all critical points of functions $\Lambda_{F,h}$ under volume or perimeter constraint. We note that the proof of statement (iii) in the following theorem makes use of the same argument of [12, Prop. 2.30] which is included here for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem 4.8 *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^2 and F be a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\phi \in \Theta_\Omega[F]$ and that the eigenvalues $\lambda_j[\phi]$ have the common value $\lambda_F[\phi]$ for all $j \in F$. Let $\{v_l\}_{l\in F}$ be an orthornormal basis in $W^{1,2}(\phi(\Omega))$ of the eigenspace corresponding to $\lambda_F[\phi]$.*

(i) The transformation ϕ is a critical point for any of the functions $\Lambda_{F,h}$, $h = 1, \dots, |F|$, with the volume constraint (4.1) if and only if there exists $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{l\in F} |\nabla_T v_l|^2 + (1 - \lambda_F[\phi]H - \lambda_F^2[\phi])v_l^2 = C_1, \quad \text{on } \partial\phi(\Omega)\,. \tag{4.9}$$

(ii) The transformation ϕ is a critical point for any of the functions $\Lambda_{F,h}$, $h = 1, \dots, |F|$, with the perimeter constraint (4.2) if and only if there exists $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{l\in F} |\nabla_T v_l|^2 + (1 - \lambda_F[\phi]H - \lambda_F^2[\phi])v_l^2 = C_2 H, \quad \text{on } \partial\phi(\Omega)\,. \tag{4.10}$$

(iii) If $\phi(\Omega)$ is a ball then conditions (4.9) and (4.10) are satisfied.

Proof. First we prove (i) and (ii). As for the case of finite dimensional vector spaces, the fact that ϕ is a critical point for $\Lambda_{F,h}$ under volume or perimeter constraint respectively, is equivalent to the existence of the corresponding Lagrange multipliers which means that there exist $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathrm{d}\Lambda_{F,h}(\phi) = c_1 \mathrm{d}V(\phi)$ and $\mathrm{d}\Lambda_{F,h}(\phi) = c_2 \mathrm{d}P(\phi)$ respectively (see, *e.g.*, Deimling [5, Thm. 26.1]). By (3.15), (3.16), (4.4), by changing variables in integrals and the Divergence Theorem it follows that

$$\mathrm{d}V(\phi)[\psi] = \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} (\psi \circ \phi^{(-1)})\nu^T d\sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{d}P(\phi)[\psi] = \int_{\partial\phi(\Omega)} H(\psi \circ \phi^{(-1)})\nu^T d\sigma\,, \tag{4.11}$$

for all $\psi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus by combining (3.4), (4.11) and by the arbitrary choice of ψ we deduce the validity of (4.9) and (4.10) for suitable constants C_1, C_2.

In order to prove (iii) we proceed as in [12]. Without any loss of generality, we assume that $\phi(\Omega)$ is a ball centered at zero. By rotation invariance of the Laplace operator, $\{v_l \circ A\}_{l\in F}$ is an orthonormal basis of the eigenspace corresponding to $\lambda_F[\phi]$ for all $A \in O_N(\mathbb{R})$. Here $O_N(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the group of orthogonal linear transformations in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Since both $\{v_l\}_{l\in F}$ and $\{v_l \circ A\}_{l\in F}$ are orthonormal bases of the same space, it follows that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{|F|} v_l^2 \circ A = \sum_{l=1}^{|F|} v_l^2\,, \tag{4.12}$$

for all $A \in O_n(\mathbb{R})$. Thus $\sum_{l=1}^{|F|} v_l^2$ is radial. This, combined with the fact that in the case of a ball H is constant, implies the validity of (4.9) and (4.10) for suitable constants C_1, C_2. □

Theorem 4.8 suggests to consider the following overdetermined systems where λ is an eigenvalue of multiplicity m and $u_1, \dots, u_m$ is an orthonormal basis in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of the corresponding eigenspace

$$(\mathrm{P1})\begin{cases} \Delta u_l = u_l, & \text{in } \Omega\,, \\ \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial \nu} = \lambda u_l, & \text{in } \partial\Omega\,, \\ l = 1, \dots, m, & \\ \sum_{l=1}^m |\nabla_T v_l|^2 + (1 - \lambda H - \lambda^2) v_l^2 = \text{const}, & \text{on } \partial\Omega\,, \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

$$(\mathrm{P2})\begin{cases} \Delta u_l = u_l, & \text{in } \Omega\,, \\ \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial \nu} = \lambda u_l, & \text{in } \partial\Omega\,, \\ l = 1, \dots, m, & \\ \sum_{l=1}^m |\nabla_T v_l|^2 + (1 - \lambda H - \lambda^2) v_l^2 = \text{const}H, & \text{on } \partial\Omega\,. \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

It would be interesting to characterize those bounded domains Ω in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^2 such that systems (P1) or (P2) are satisfied and to know whether the existence of solutions to one of these systems implies that Ω is a ball.

References

[1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg, *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions I.*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1959), pp 623–727.

[2] F. Brock, *An isoperimetric inequality for eigenvalues of the Stekloff problem.* ZAMM Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 81 (2001), pp. 69–71.

[3] V.I. Burenkov, *Sobolev spaces on domains*, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik [Teubner Texts in Mathematics], 137. B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Stuttgart, 1998.

[4] R.A. Castro Triana, *Regularity of the solutions for a Robin problem and some applications*, Rev. Colombiana Mat. 42 (2008), pp. 127–144.

[5] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

[6] D. Henry, *Perturbation of the boundary in boundary-value problems of partial differential equations*, With editorial assistance from Jack Hale and Antônio Luiz Pereira. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 318. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[7] A. Henrot, *Extremum problems for eigenvalues of elliptic operators*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.

[8] A. Kufner, O. John and S. Fučík, *Function spaces*, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, Academia, Prague, 1977.

[9] P.D. Lamberti, *Absence of critical mass densities for a vibrating membrane*, Appl. Math. Optim. 59 (2009), pp. 319–327.

[10] P.D. Lamberti and M. Lanza de Cristoforis, *An analyticity result for the dependence of multiple eigenvalues and eigenspaces of the Laplace operator upon perturbation of the domain*, Glasg. Math. J. 44 (2002), pp. 29–43.

[11] ———, *A real analyticity result for symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of a domain dependent Dirichlet problem for the Laplace operator*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 5 (2004), pp. 19–42.

[12] ———, *Critical points of the symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator and overdetermined problems*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 58 (2006), pp. 231–245.

[13] G.M. Lieberman, *Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 12 (1988), pp. 1203–1219.

[14] S. Martínez and J.D. Rossi, *Isolation and simplicity for the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian with a nonlinear boundary condition*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 7 (2002), pp. 287–293.

[15] J.D. Rossi, *First variations of the best Sobolev trace constant with respect to the domain*, Canad. Math. Bull. 51 (2008), pp. 140-145.

[16] W. Stekloff, *Sur les problèmes fondamentaux de la physique mathématique (suite et fin)*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) 19 (1902), pp. 455–490.

[17] R. Weinstock, *Inequalities for a classical eigenvalue problem*, J. Rational Mech. Anal. 3 (1954), pp. 745–753.

Pier Domenico Lamberti
Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata
Università degli Studi di Padova
Via Trieste 63
35121 Padova
Italy
e-mail: lamberti@math.unipd.it